

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/971,997	10/05/2001	Mark V. Goloby	069620.0101	4147
7.	590 06/05/2002			
Michael Locklar			EXAMINER	
Baker Botts L.L.P. 910 Louisiana Street			JACKSON, ANDRE K	
Houston, TX	/7002-4995		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	_ 2856	

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Commence	09/971,997	GOLOBY, MARK V.			
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andre' K. Jackson	2856			
Peri d fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication: period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. I reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
-,/ 2a)[_		This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for all		ors, prospecition as to the morite is			
·	closed-in-accordance with-the practice und on of Claims					
	Claim(s)-1-38 is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without					
	Claim(s) <u>34-38</u> is/are allowed.					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-12,14,17-22,25-27,29 and 32</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) 8,9,13,15,16,23,24,28 and 30 is/ar					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	-				
	on Papers	·				
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) 🔲 🛚	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 🗆	Γhe proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) dis	approved by the Examiner.			
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •				
12) 🔲 🛚	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in App	olication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	n).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome					
Attachment						
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Infe	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 8, lines 10 and 11 "holding tank" has two reference numbers 20 and 30.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,3,4,6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen.

Regarding claim 1, Chen discloses an "Automated chemical drain system" which has a metering reservoir (tank 4), a liquid level sensor (5) and an electronics module (8).

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claim 3, Chen discloses an "Automated chemical drain system" which has a lower set point (52) located at the bottom of the metering reservoir.

Regarding claim 4, Chen discloses an "Automated chemical drain system" which has a float sensor (52-56).

Regarding claim 6, Chen discloses an "Automated chemical drain system" which has an inlet port located at the top of the metering reservoir (Figure 2).

Regarding claim 12, Chen discloses an "Automated chemical drain system" which has a cylindrical metering reservoir.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2,5,7,10,11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen in view of Maresca.

Regarding claim 2, Chen discloses an "automated chemical drain system" which has an upper set point (56). This set point is not located at

l.

the top of the metering reservoir but it is clearly within the preview of one of ordinary skill in the art to place the set point at the top of the reservoir to measure the entire volume of the reservoir.

Regarding claim 5, Chen does not disclose a vertical guide where the float is capable of transversing the guide vertically. However, Maresca et al. discloses a "Guage for measuring liquid levels" which shows a vertical guide where the float is capable of transversing the guide vertically (Figure 1A). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Chen to include a vertical guide where the float is capable of transversing the guide vertically as taught by Maresca et al. since the vertical guide provides more stability for the float.

Regarding claim 7, it is clearly within the preview of one of ordinary skill I the art to include an inlet port at the bottom of the metering reservoir.

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Chen discloses an "automated chemical drain system" which does not disclose the volume of the metering reservoir. However, it is clearly within the preview of the skilled artisan to modify the volume of the reservoir without undue experiment.

Regarding claim 14, Chen does not disclose a power supply.

However, it is inherent that there is some type of power supplied to the electronics module.

Art Unit: 2856

4.

 Claims 17-19,21,22,25-27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen in view of Ayres, and further in view of Pyzik.

Regarding claim 17, Chen discloses an "Automated chemical drain system" which has a metering reservoir (Figure 2), a control valve (Figure 2), a liquid level sensor (Figure 2) and an electronics module (Figure 2). A tank outlet conduit and a paddlewheel are not disclosed. However, Ayres discloses a "Multiple phase chemical injection system" which has a tank outlet conduit. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen to include a tank outlet conduit as taught by Ayres since they are from the same field of endeavor. Pyzik discloses a "Paddlewheel flow meter assembly" which has a paddlewheel (32). Therefore, to modify Chen to include a paddlewheel as taught by Pyzik would have been clearly within the preview of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention since paddlewheels are used to measure the flow of fluid.

Regarding claim 18, Chen discloses an "Automated chemical drain system" which has a lower set point (52) located at the bottom of the metering reservoir.

Regarding claim 19, Chen discloses an "Automated chemical drain system" which has a float sensor (52-56).

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claim 21, Chen discloses an "automated chemical drain system" which has an inlet port located at the top of the metering reservoir (Figure 2).

Regarding claim 22, it is clearly within the preview of one of ordinary skill I the art to include an inlet port at the bottom of the metering reservoir.

Regarding claims 25 and 26, Chen discloses an "Automated chemical drain system" which does not disclose the volume of the metering reservoir. However, it is clearly within the preview of the skilled artisan to modify the volume of the reservoir without undue experiment.

Regarding claim 27, Chen discloses an "Automated chemical drain system" which has a cylindrical metering reservoir.

Regarding claim 29, Chen does not disclose a power supply.

However, it is inherent that there is some type of power supplied to the electronics module.

7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen in view of Ayres and Pyzik as applied to claims 17-19, 21,25-27 and 29 above, and further in view of Maresca.

Regarding claim 20, Chen does not disclose a vertical guide where the float is capable of transversing the guide vertically. However, Maresca et al. discloses a "Guage for measuring liquid levels" which shows a

Art Unit: 2856

Page 7

vertical guide where the float is capable of transversing the guide vertically (Figure 1A). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Chen to include a vertical guide where the float is capable of transversing the guide vertically as taught by Maresca et al. since the vertical guide provides more stability for the float.

8. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen in view of Ayres.

Regarding claim 32, Chen discloses an "Automated chemical drain system" which has a metering reservoir (Figure 2), a control valve (Figure 2), a liquid level sensor (Figure 2), a holding tank (44) and an electronics module (Figure 2). What is not disclosed is a tank outlet port. However, Ayres discloses a "Multiple phase chemical injection system" which has a tank outlet conduit. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen to include a tank outlet conduit as taught by Ayres since they are from the same field of endeavor.

- 9. Claims 8,9,13,15,16,23,24,28 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 34-38 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2856

Page 8

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' K. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 305-1522. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are N/A for regular communications and N/A for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

A.J. 24 22 May 20, 2002

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800